

SUMMARY REPORT

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO
1085432TYPE
CRDATE OF REPORT
12-DEC-2017

INSTRUCTIONS: SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSE

TO: ☐ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ☐ CHIEF,
BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	9181			113	

REFERENCE NOS.(LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

INCIDENT ADDRESS: 5101 S. WENTWORTH AVE, CHICAGO, IL 60609 DATE / TIME: 01-SEP-2015 00:09 BEAT: 225

ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY ?	SWORN ?
UNKNOWN						/			YES	UNK
UNKNOWN						/			YES	UNK
CHATMAN, AARON D	9171	1245		002		M / BLK		27-AUG-1990	YES	YES
ANDERSON, WESLEY	9161	18388		002	712	M / BLK		29-JUL-2002	YES	YES
UNKNOWN						/			YES	UNK

REPORTING PARTY

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
				M / BLK	/ 50

VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
				M / BLK	/ 50

WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE

* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

ALLEGATIONS

** SEE LAST PAGE FOR INSTRUCTIONS FOR STATING ALLEGATIONS, AND COMPLETING THE REMAINDER OF THE SUMMARY REPORT.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SUMMARY REPORT

AFTER COMPLETING THE FORM, CONTINUE THE SUMMARY REPORT ON 8 1/2 x 11 INCH WHITE PAPER.

ALLEGATIONS

In narrative form, state how, when, where, and by whom the complaint was received. State the date, time, and location where the incident occurred, and summarize the complaint. If more than one allegation is made, enumerate each allegation as follows:

- No. 1 (Summarize the allegation)
- No. 2 (Summarize the allegation)

2. EVIDENCE

Number and attach all statements, reports, and other evidence gathered, on the lower right hand corner. The Complaint Log number must also be entered on the lower right hand corner of each attachment. Following are numbered (EXAMPLES ONLY).

Attachments:

- No. 1 Face Sheet - Yellow
- No. 2 Letter of complaint from victim
- No. 3 Statement of victim
- No. 4 Statement of witness (give name)
- No. 5 Report of member (give name)
- No. 6 Statement of member (give name)
- No. 7 Progress report of investigator (give name)
- No. 8 Copy of certified letter to reporting party
- No. 9 Copy of Alcoholic Influence Report (accused)
- No. 10 General Offense Case Report, R.D. _____
- No. 11 Signed Sworn Affidavit

3. INVESTIGATION

In narrative form, indicate the fact-finding processes followed and the information ascertained as a result of the investigation. Whenever reference is made to an attachment, indicate the attachment number.

4. FINDINGS

Each allegation must be classified as either of the following:
Unfounded - Exonerated - Not Sustained - Sustained - No Affidavit.

If the classification is "Sustained," indicate the rule number violated, the context of the rule, and how the rule was violated by the member.

Example: Allegation No. 1. Unfounded
Allegation No. 1. Sustained - Violation of Rule 12,
Failure to wear the uniform as prescribed, in that on 27 Feb 84
the accused was found to be wearing a non-prescribed short
sleeve shirt.

Even though the original allegation(s) may be Unfounded, etc., the investigation may uncover a violation of serious nature unrelated to the original complaint, in which case disciplinary action should be recommended for the other violation.

Example: Allegation No. 1. Unfounded
Allegation No. 2. Not Sustained Other violation: Sustained-
Violation of Rule 26, Failure to provide the Department with
a current address and telephone number, in that the
accused related in his statement that he had moved and
obtained a new telephone number and he had failed to
provide this information to the Department.

SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS and
RECORD OF PREVIOUS COMPLIMENTARY HISTORY
IN SUSTAINED CASES ONLY, copies of the accused member's
Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous
Complimentary History will be included as attachments to the final
investigation report, Refer to the General Order entitled "Complaint and
Disciplinary Procedures."

5. RECOMMENDATION FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

One (overall) recommendation for disciplinary action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for all sustained findings;
recommendations will not be made for each sustained allegation

Examples: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted.
2. That the accused member be reprimanded.
3. That the accused member be suspended for days
4. That the accused member be separated from the
Department.

6. DATE INITIATED: (Date complaint was received for investigation)

7. DATE COMPLETED: (Date of this report)

8. ELAPSED TIME: (Total time, expressed in days)

(Investigator)			
Rank	Name	Star No.	Unit

9. APPROVALS

The investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form
(CPD-44. 113-A) by completing the Investigator section.

SUMMARY OF INCIDENT

The complainant, [REDACTED] alleges that on an unknown date, he was stopped by two Chicago Police Department (CPD) officers who detained [REDACTED] and would not release him until he gave the officers information about guns and drugs. According to [REDACTED] after he refused to work with these two officers, an investigative alert was placed on [REDACTED] which resulted in his unlawful arrest on April 15, 2015.

ALLEGATIONS

On April 27, 2017, the complainant, [REDACTED] telephoned the City of Chicago Office of Inspector General (OIG). On June 1, 2017, OIG forwarded [REDACTED] complaint to the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA). IPRA staff subsequently contacted [REDACTED] and conducted an interview.¹

It is alleged that on an unknown date, near 119th and Perry, **unidentified officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified officer #2:**

Allegation 1: Detained [REDACTED] in handcuffs without justification, in violation of Rule 6 in relation to the 4th Amendment to the United States Constitution and Rule 6 in relation to General Order G06-01

Allegation 2: Detained [REDACTED] in a police vehicle without justification, in violation of Rule 6 in relation to the 4th Amendment to the United States Constitution and Rule 6 in relation to General Order G06-01

It is alleged that on an unknown date, near 111th and Doty, **unidentified officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified officer #2:**

Allegation 3: Detained [REDACTED] without justification, in violation of Rule 6 in relation to the 4th Amendment to the United States Constitution and Rule 6 in relation to General Order G06-01

Allegation 4: Detained [REDACTED] for an excessive amount of time, in violation of Rule 6 in relation to the 4th Amendment to the United States Constitution and Rule 6 in relation to General Order G06-01

Allegation 5: Did not allow [REDACTED] release from CPD custody until he provided information on guns and drugs, in violation of Rule 2, Rule 6 in relation to the 4th Amendment to the United States Constitution, Rule 6 in relation to General Order G06-01, and Rule 8

¹ On September 15, 2017, the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA) replaced the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) as the civilian oversight agency of the Chicago Police Department. Thus, this investigation, which began under IPRA, was transferred to COPA on September 15, 2017, and the recommendation(s) set forth herein are the recommendation(s) of COPA.

CIVILLIAN OFFICE OF POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY

Log #1085432

Allegation 6: Denied [REDACTED] water, in violation of Rule 6 in in relation to General Order G06-01

Allegation 7: Denied [REDACTED] a telephone call, in violation of Rule 6 in in relation to General Order G06-01

Allegation 8: Tried to force [REDACTED] to be a confidential informant, in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 8

It is alleged that on February 22, 2017, at an unknown time and location, **Sergeant Aaron Chatman, Star #1245:**

Allegation 1: Placed a fraudulent investigative alert on [REDACTED] in violation of Rule 2, Rule 8, and Rule 14

It is alleged that on April 15, 2015 at approximately 2:00 PM at 3510 S. Michigan Ave., **Officer Wesley Anderson, Star #18388:**

Allegation 1: Used excessive force against [REDACTED] by grabbing his neck in violation of Rule 2, Rule 6 in relation to General Order G03-02, Rule 8, and Rule 9

It is alleged that on April 15, 2015, at 51st and Wentworth, an **unidentified male sergeant or lieutenant:**

Allegation 1: Denied [REDACTED] a telephone call, in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 8

Allegation 2: Denied [REDACTED] medical attention, in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 8

APPLICABLE RULES AND LAWS

Rule 2: prohibits, "Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department."

Rule 6: prohibits, "Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral."

Rule 8: prohibits, "Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty."

Rule 9: prohibits, "Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty."

Rule 14: prohibits, "Making a false report, written or oral."

Chicago Police Department Directive, General Order G01-02-04, Organization and Functions of the Bureau of Detectives: "The Criminal Registration Unit is responsible for registering previously convicted offenders under the Illinois Sex Offender Registration Act [...] The unit is also charged with maintaining the Department's registration records for these individuals and coordinating with the detective areas in the investigation and processing of sex

offender registration violations. Additionally, the section coordinates quarterly verification checks of registrant addresses and provides regular listings to patrol and detective bureau personnel on registrants then in a violation status.”

Chicago Police Department Directive, General Order G03-02, Use of Force Guidelines:² “When a Department member engages a member of the public, the member will do so in such a manner which affords that person the respect and dignity to which all persons are entitled. The use of excessive force or unwarranted physical force or unprofessional conduct by a Department member will not be tolerated under any circumstances.”

“Department members will use an amount of force reasonably necessary based on the totality of the circumstances to perform a lawful task, effect an arrest, overcome resistance, control a subject, or protect themselves or others from injury.”

Chicago Police Department Directive, General Order G06-01, Processing Persons Under Department Control, “The Department's justification for the detention of any arrested person will be included in the Arrest Report (CPD-11.420). The arresting officer is required to set forth in the Arrest Report sufficient information (probable cause to arrest) to substantiate all charges placed against an arrestee.”

“Department personnel will, at all times, be aware of each arrestee's rights and will [...] expedite all processing so that the arrestee will not be detained longer than necessary” and “never use force or coercion in seeking confessions or admissions.”

Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution: The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated

INVESTIGATION

Officer of Inspector General Statement

██████████ placed a telephone call to the City of Chicago Officer of Inspector General (OIG). ██████████ complaint was then forwarded to IPRA. In his OIG statement, ██████████ reported that in September 2015, he was stopped by CPD officers near 119th and Perry and asked questions. ██████████ related that the officers tried to force ██████████ “to be a confidential informant.” When ██████████ refused, the officers drove him the police station located at 111th and Doty. ██████████ was then transferred by two different police officers to a police station at 51st and Wentworth. ██████████ was unable to identify these two officer who transported him but said one was a Hispanic male in his mid-thirties, approximately 5’6”, and with a stocky build. The second officer was described as an approximately 5’7” white male also with a stocky build. According to ██████████ the two officers left ██████████ handcuffed to a wall for hours before taking him to be interrogated by a detective. ██████████ stated that this detective asked ██████████ “about guns and drugs.” ██████████ refused to answer so the detective and officers “choked and punched” ██████████. According to ██████████ he sustained a bleeding lip and nose but was denied medical

² The Use of Force policy noted in this report was effective from October 1, 2002 until October 16, 2017.

CIVILLIAN OFFICE OF POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY

Log #1085432

treatment. [REDACTED] stated that as a result, "he suffered a nose fracture, a neck injury, and persistent headaches from the assault." [REDACTED] reported that he was then released and the detective told [REDACTED] to help to detective, "set-up some people."

[REDACTED] claimed that on another occasion, "he went to a CPD station located at 35th and Michigan to register as a sex offender." [REDACTED] reported that he was arrested on a warrant for failing to register as a sex offender following an incident in May 2001 involving a minor. Per [REDACTED] he was not aware that he was supposed to registered as a sex offender after his release from prison in February 2012. [REDACTED] believed that an investigative alert "triggered his arrested at [...] 35th and Michigan, and that he felt targeted by CPD for refusing to cooperate with the detective in September 2015." [REDACTED] stated that the officer from his arrest at 35th and Michigan also assaulted [REDACTED] when the officer grabbed [REDACTED] by the collar, choked [REDACTED] and pushed [REDACTED] into a squad car. [REDACTED] described this officer as "a black male, in his mid-thirties, approximately 6' to 6'5" feet tall." (Atts. 4, 5, 6)

Complainant Interview, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] related that he was at 119th and Perry when two CPD officers in a brown Ford Explorer approached [REDACTED] "jumped out the car and grabbed" him, and began searching [REDACTED] related the officers "threw" him in their car and "kidnapped" him. [REDACTED] was unable to recall the exact date this occurred, but he believed it was a weekday in September 2015 around 9:30 AM. [REDACTED] described one officer as a short, stocky Hispanic male and one as a 30-something, short, stocky, white male with goldish brown hair and green or blue eyes. [REDACTED] believed the Hispanic officer was named something like Renault [phonetic] or Ronaldo [phonetic]. [REDACTED] stated that the white officer placed him in handcuffs and placed [REDACTED] in the back of the CPD vehicle. [REDACTED] reported that the officers tried to attribute some drugs to him. [REDACTED] denied that he had drugs and he did not know where these drugs came from. [REDACTED] recalled that the Hispanic officer told him that they could help [REDACTED] with his criminal history if he worked with them as a confidential informant (CI).

[REDACTED] said that he was then taken to a police station and kept for "hours." The two officers reportedly had [REDACTED] looking at a computer screen on a program called "CLEAR" and were asking [REDACTED] to tell them "where the drugs" could be found. [REDACTED] stated he was "so fearful of my life" that he agreed to be a "stoopigeon" and told the officers where guns could be found so that he could leave. [REDACTED] stated that he asked what he was being held for or what the charges against him were and the police responded that they wanted [REDACTED] to tell the officers about houses where drugs and guns could be found. [REDACTED] stated that at the police station, he was handcuffed to the wall for hours and was not allowed to make a phone call or have a drink of water. [REDACTED] stated he was "in a cell" at 111th and Doty for multiple hours. [REDACTED] stated that he was driven to a judge's home so the officers could obtain a search warrant for the guns [REDACTED] told them about. [REDACTED] could not remember the judge's name, but said he was an old man. [REDACTED] was taken back to the police station before two tall, white officers in a blue Ford Explorer returned [REDACTED] to his vehicle. [REDACTED] was unable to describe these two white officers any further because he was so "shook up."

[REDACTED] stated he met with the two original officers on approximately two subsequent occasions and that during these occasions, [REDACTED] feared for his life. [REDACTED] recalled that the Hispanic officer's cellphone number "had a whole bunch of sixes in it." [REDACTED] reported that after he refused

to work as a CI, CPD "unlawfully" placed an investigative alert on the complainant. ■■■■■ believed that he was only given an investigative alert as retaliation for not being a CI because he was in full compliance with the laws regarding his sex offender registration.

On a date identified as April 15, 2015, ■■■■■ stated that he was at the police station at 35th and Michigan to register as a sex offender when a white, male officer with a "German haircut" arrested ■■■■■ stated he was placed in handcuffs and told he was being arrested for falsifying his address in his sex offender registration. ■■■■■ denied providing a false address and stated he never had problems with his registration prior to refusing to be a CI. ■■■■■ said he was handcuffed to the wall at 35th and Michigan until transportation arrived. ■■■■■ elaborated that a tall, young, black, male officer grabbed ■■■■■ "by the neck." ■■■■■ said he was "not really" able to breath and the officer was holding ■■■■■ neck from behind and pushing ■■■■■ head down as they walked. This same black officer drove ■■■■■ to 51st and Wentworth along with this officer's partner, a short, older, Hispanic male with grey in his hair. ■■■■■ recalled that the vehicle he was transported in was a burgundy sports utility vehicle.

■■■■■ reported that once he was transported to a police station at 51st and Wentworth, he was denied a phone call or medical attention and his personal property was taken. ■■■■■ stated he was thrown in a cell for approximately four or five hours and was experiencing chest pains. ■■■■■ stated that a black, female detective asked him if he wanted to talk about "what's going on in the streets," which ■■■■■ refused. ■■■■■ recalled a skinny, black, male sergeant or lieutenant at 51st and Wentworth said ■■■■■ would get medical care but it never arrived. ■■■■■ stated that he was then taken to 26th and California in a police wagon for court. ■■■■■ claimed that he did not know what he was being charged with until he went to court.

■■■■■ was shown an Arrest Report from April 15, 2015 (Att. 10), in which he was arrested in relation to his sex offender registration. ■■■■■ maintained that this arrest occurred after he was stopped by the two officers near 119th and Perry. ■■■■■ believed that the two officers from the 119th and Perry incident placed an investigative alert on ■■■■■ which triggered his April 15, 2015 arrest. After seeing the April 15, 2015 arrest report, ■■■■■ stated that he may have been wrong about the date of the first incident. ■■■■■ had difficulty recalling when the incident at 119th and Perry occurred, but he believed it may have been around September 2014, or possibly even in 2013. (Att. 17)

Department Records and Reports

The Investigative Alert which triggered ■■■■■ April 15, 2015 arrest was created on February 22, 2015 by Sergeant Aaron Chatman (who was a detective on the date in question). The alert relates that ■■■■■ last known address was 8137 S. Ellis, Apt. 1W. This alert states that after a status check, ■■■■■ was found "to be in violation of the sex offender registration act in that he did not reside at his listed address of residence." (Att. 24)

■■■■■ Arrest Report was obtained from April 15, 2015. ■■■■■ offense was cited as Fail to Report Change of Address/Employer. ■■■■■ was arrested at CPD headquarters, located at 3510 S. Michigan Ave., at approximately 2:00 PM. Detective Weidenski, who was on-duty at Sex Registration at 3510 S. Michigan, alerted Beat 263E (identified as Officers Hunt and Duncan) that ■■■■■ reported to register, triggering an investigative alert on ■■■■■ for failing to register at a new

address. [REDACTED] was placed into custody and transported by Beat 0263 (identified as Officers Anderson and Cortez) to the 2nd District. Detective Loftus in Area South Detective was also alerted of [REDACTED] arrest. (Att. 10)

An Original Case Incident Report was located related to a compliance check conducted on [REDACTED] on February 12, 2015 with RD #HY149514. Detective Case Supplementary Reports were also located for RD #HY149514. On February 12, 2015 at approximately 6:59 PM, Officer Carl Carter identified that [REDACTED] "is a Registered Sex Offender who moved and failed to notify law enforcement of his new address." Officer Carter was conducting "a bi-annual check of Registered Sex Offenders" and went to the address last used by [REDACTED] for his registration. Officer Carter spoke with a resident at this address who told the officer that he had been living there for the last two years and did not know [REDACTED]. A second resident at the home also did not know [REDACTED]. Sergeant Chatman then issued an Investigative Alert for [REDACTED] "with probable cause to arrest." (Atts. 25, 26, 27)

Illinois Department of Corrections

An inmate record was located for [REDACTED] in through the Illinois Department of Corrections. According to available details, [REDACTED] was sentenced to three years of parole on April 9, 2015 for providing false information in his sex offender registration. [REDACTED] is also currently serving a four-year probation sentence since August 17, 2013 for violating his sex offender registration. [REDACTED] has a projected discharge date of October 26, 2018.

Furthermore, [REDACTED] has discharged sentences from previous offenses including failing to report weekly in 2009, violating sex offender registration in 2006, and failing to report a change of address in 2005. (Att. 7)

Illinois Sex Offender Information

Details were obtained from the Illinois State Police regarding [REDACTED] sex offender registration. When [REDACTED] was 33 years old, he reportedly exposed his organs/engaged in the sexual exploitation of a 16-year-old. [REDACTED] violated the sex offender registration on multiple occasions with reported crimes including violating the sex offender registration act, fail to report change of address, fail to report weekly/no fixed address, and registering with false information. (Att. 21)

Accused Interview, Sergeant Aaron Chatman

Per Sergeant Chatman, he has "no idea who [REDACTED] is." Sergeant Chatman did not recall creating an investigative alert for [REDACTED] on February 22, 2015. Sergeant Chatman related that as a detective, he investigated several types of cases including failing to register as a sex offender. Sergeant Chatman stated that, generally, information about failing to register would be obtained through either an interview with an arrestee or a residence check. If the individual is not at the address they are supposed to be at when a residence check is done, a case report is generated and an investigative alert is issued. Sergeant Chatman stated he has made "a lot" of investigative alerts and did not remember making one for [REDACTED]. However, Sergeant Chatman related he would never make an investigative alert out of retaliation and never knowingly made a fraudulent investigative alert. After reviewing the investigative alert for [REDACTED] from February 22, 2015 (Att. 24), Sergeant

Chatman agreed that he must have issued the alert because it was associated with his employee information. However, he could not recall this specific alert. Sergeant Chatman did note that the writing style of the alert was not the style he usually employs.

Sergeant Chatman could not recall ever using a CI. Sergeant Chatman was not aware of any officers trying to use [REDACTED] as a CI. Sergeant Chatman was not able to identify the unidentified Officer Renault/Ronaldo or the unidentified sergeant/lieutenant. (Att. 34)

Witness Interview, Detective Mark Wiedenski

Detective Weidenski stated that on April 15, 2015, he was working in the Criminal Registration Unit. Detective Weidenski did not recall [REDACTED]. Detective Weidenski stated that when individuals come in to register, he asks for identification. The detective stated that approximately five times a month, someone coming into register may trigger an investigative alert. Detective Weidenski stated that he personally would place the individual in handcuffs and tell them they are under arrest. Detective Weidenski stated that an arrestee would be handcuffed to a bench while waiting for the transportation officers. Detective Weidenski was not aware of any officers trying to use [REDACTED] as a CI. Detective Weidenski stated that he previously had a "flat-top" style haircut, believed to be [REDACTED] reference to a "German" haircut. Detective Weidenski was not able to identify the unidentified Officer Renault/Ronaldo or the unidentified sergeant/lieutenant. (Att. 41)

Witness Interview, Detective Patrick Loftus

After reviewing Department reports, Detective Loftus reported that he was aware [REDACTED] was a registered sex offender. Detective Loftus "could not say" that he had ever met [REDACTED] in person. Detective Loftus was informed of [REDACTED] arrest on April 15, 2015 because there was an investigative alert. Detective Loftus was notified because he was on-duty and Detective Chatman was not. Detective Loftus was not aware of any officers attempting to use [REDACTED] as a CI. Detective Loftus was unable to identify the unidentified sergeant/lieutenant or unidentified Officer Renault/Ronaldo. (Att. 32)

Accused Interview, Officer Wesley Anderson

After reviewing [REDACTED] April 15, 2015, Arrest Report (Att. 10), Officer Anderson did not recall [REDACTED]. After reviewing Department records, Officer Anderson confirmed that he and Officer Cortez were [REDACTED] transporting officers. Officer Anderson related that he has previously transported arrestees related to sex offender registration. However, Officer Anderson did not know why he and Officer Cortez would have transported an arrestee for different arresting officers (identified as Officers Hunt and Duncan). Officer Anderson related that if he was the one to transport an arrestee, he would usually complete the arrest report but he did not in this case. Per Officer Anderson, if a sex offender goes to 35th and Michigan and an investigative alert or warrant is returned, the individual will be detained and transport would be called. According to Officer Anderson, usually arrestees would be sitting on a bench, waiting on transportation. Further, the individual would usually be in handcuffs. Officer Anderson would then place his handcuffs on the arrestee, remove the handcuffs already on the arrestee, and transport the arrestee to the police vehicle. Officer Anderson stated he did not remember [REDACTED] "at all" and therefore could not say what the specific details were in this instance. Officer Anderson denied using excessive force by

grabbing Mr. [REDACTED] neck. Officer Anderson denied that he would grab an arrestee's neck to get them to comply. (Att. 49)

Witness Interview, Officer Ramiro Cortez

Officer Cortez stated that on April 15, 2015, he was working in the 2nd District. Officer Cortez did not recall [REDACTED]. After reviewing [REDACTED] Arrest Report (Att. 10) from this date, Officer Cortez agreed that he was [REDACTED] transporting officer and partnered with Officer Anderson. Officer Cortez stated that he never saw Officer Anderson use excessive force or grab an arrestee by the neck. Officer Cortez was unaware of any officers attempting to use [REDACTED] as a CI. Officer Cortez was unable to identify the unidentified sergeant/lieutenant or unidentified Officer Renault/Ronaldo. (Att. 39)

Witness Interview, Officer Marcus Duncan

According to Officer Duncan, on April 15, 2015, he was working in the 2nd District. Officer Duncan did not know the unidentified Officer Renault/Ronaldo. Officer Duncan did not recall [REDACTED]. After reviewing [REDACTED] April 15, 2015 Arrest Report (Att. 10), Officer Duncan believed [REDACTED] was arrested for failing to register as a sex offender. Officer Duncan stated that he processed [REDACTED] arrest. Officer Duncan could not specifically recall [REDACTED]. Therefore, Officer Duncan could not confirm if he first saw [REDACTED] at 35th and Michigan or at the 2nd District. However, Officer Duncan related that arrestees at 35th and Michigan are usually handcuffed to a wall when officers arrive to transport them. Officer Duncan could not recall seeing Officer Anderson with [REDACTED]. Officer Duncan denied asking [REDACTED] to be a CI or having knowledge of officers trying to use [REDACTED] as a CI. Officer Duncan believed the unidentified sergeant/lieutenant may have been Sergeant Andre Thompson. (Att. 28)

Witness Interview, Officer David Hunt

Officer Hunt stated that on April 15, 2015, he was working in the 2nd District. Officer Hunt did not know the unidentified Officer Renault/Ronaldo. Officer Hunt did not recall [REDACTED]. Officer Hunt believed he was notified that [REDACTED] was detained at CPD headquarters related to criminal registration. However, Officer Hunt did not specifically recall seeing [REDACTED] at 35th and Michigan. Officer Hunt related that it is customary practice for arrestees awaiting transportation to be handcuffed to the wall at 35th and Michigan. Officer Hunt did not remember seeing Officer Anderson with [REDACTED]. Officer Hunt could not recall who transported [REDACTED] from 35th and Michigan to the 2nd District. Officer Hunt denied asking [REDACTED] to be a CI and related he was unaware of other officers asking [REDACTED] to be a CI. Officer Hunt was unable to identify the unidentified sergeant or lieutenant. (Att. 30)

Witness Interview, Detective Johnnie Minter-Edwards

Detective Minter-Edwards related that she did "not really" recall [REDACTED]. After reviewing [REDACTED] April 15, 2015 Arrest Report (Att. 10), Detective Minter-Edwards stated that she was assigned to do a follow-up investigation after [REDACTED] arrest. The detective stated she read [REDACTED] his Miranda Rights, he requested an attorney, and that was the extent of their interaction. Detective Minter-Edwards reported that [REDACTED] was arrested on an Investigatory Alert created by Sergeant Chatman, who was a detective at the time of [REDACTED] arrest. Detective Minter-Edwards stated that a

Beat officer identified that [REDACTED] was not in compliance and the case was then assigned to Sergeant Chatman. Detective Minter-Edwards believed Sergeant Chatman created this alert after learning [REDACTED] did not live at the address provided for [REDACTED] sex offender registration. Detective Minter-Edwards denied that she asked [REDACTED] to be a CI or asked him about "what's going on in the streets." Detective Minter-Edwards related that she was not aware of any Department members attempting to use [REDACTED] as a CI. Detective Minter-Edwards was unable to provide the identity of the unidentified male sergeant or lieutenant on-duty at 51st and Wentworth. (Att. 22)

Approved:

[REDACTED]

Supervising Investigator

Submitted:

[REDACTED]

Investigator Kelsey Fitzpatrick

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #1**, that **unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2** detained [REDACTED] in handcuffs without justification, be **Not Sustained**.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #2**, that **unidentified, male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2** detained [REDACTED] in a police vehicle without justification, be **Not Sustained**.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #3**, that **unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2** detained [REDACTED] at 111th and Doty without justification, be **Not Sustained**.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #4**, that **unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2** detained [REDACTED] for an excessive amount of time, be **Not Sustained**.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #5**, that **unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2** did not allow [REDACTED] release from CPD custody until he provided information on guns and drugs, be **Not Sustained**.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #6**, that **unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2** denied [REDACTED] water at 111th and Doty, be **Not Sustained**.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #7**, that **unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2** denied [REDACTED] a telephone call at 111th and Doty, be **Not Sustained**.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #8**, that **unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2** tried to force [REDACTED] to be a confidential informant be **Not Sustained**.

For all the allegations against unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2, there is not enough information to identify who these officers are, let alone whether the events detailed by [REDACTED] actually occurred. [REDACTED] was able to provide some specific details that gave his claims credibility, such as the use of CLEAR. However, [REDACTED] narrative lacked many key details necessary to identify these two accused officers, such as the officers' names and the date on which these events occurred. Ultimately, [REDACTED] was unable about to provide enough information to investigate these allegations and come to a conclusive determination.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #1**, that **Sergeant Aaron Chatman** placed a fraudulent investigative alert on [REDACTED] be **Unfounded**.

Department reports indicated that an investigative alert was placed on [REDACTED] because he was not in compliance with his sex offender registration. [REDACTED] sees his refusal to be a CI as linked to his arrest on an investigative alert on April 15, 2015. However, this does not appear to be the case. Ultimately, it appears [REDACTED] was arrested following a compliance check that revealed [REDACTED] reported address was not his actual residence. Sergeant Chatman was reportedly unaware of any officers trying to use [REDACTED] as a CI. Furthermore, Sergeant Chatman was not even the officer who identified that [REDACTED] was not in compliance with his sex offender registration. Therefore, it was determined that Sergeant Chatman was justified in placing an investigative alert on [REDACTED] and that [REDACTED] April 15, 2015 arrest was lawful.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #1**, that **Officer Wesley Anderson** used excessive force against [REDACTED] by grabbing his neck, be **Not Sustained**.

[REDACTED] version of events is not consistent, although it is unclear if this is due to faulty memory or to deception. Details provided by [REDACTED] bring his credibility into question. For example, [REDACTED] stated that he was in full compliance with sex offender registration laws, but that does not appear to be accurate. The address [REDACTED] provided for his sex offender registration was not the address [REDACTED] was living at and this was discovered during a compliance check by Officer Carter. Additionally, [REDACTED] reported that prior to his April 15, 2015 arrest, he never had any issues with his sex offender registration. However, per [REDACTED] Illinois Department of Corrections and Illinois Sex Offender records, he has previously violated his sex offender registry multiple times since at least 2005. While [REDACTED] was accurate in describing the physical appearance of his two transporting officers, there is not enough detail to confirm or refute if Officer Anderson grabbed [REDACTED] neck. It is ultimately [REDACTED] word against Officer Anderson and there is a paucity of evidence to make a conclusive determination.

COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #1**, that the **unidentified male sergeant or lieutenant** denied [REDACTED] a telephone call be **Not Sustained**.


COPA recommends a finding that **allegation #2**, that the **unidentified male sergeant or lieutenant** denied [REDACTED] medical attention be **Not Sustained**.

CIVILLIAN OFFICE OF POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY

Log #1085432

As with unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo and unidentified male officer #2, there was insufficient information to identify the accused. Similarly, without knowing the identity of the accused Department member, or even what his title is, it was not possible to fully investigate [REDACTED] claims. Therefore, a conclusive determination could not be reached.

Approved:

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Deputy Chief Administrator.

Deputy Chief Administrator

FINDINGS

Accused 1: Unidentified male officer #1 Officer Renault/Ronaldo:

Allegation 1-8: Not Sustained

Accused 2: Unidentified male officer #2

Allegation 1-8: Not Sustained

Accused 3: Sergeant Aaron Chatman, Star #1245

Allegation 1: Unfounded

Accused 4: Officer Wesley Anderson, Star #18388

Allegation 1: Not Sustained

Accused 5: Unidentified male sergeant or lieutenant:

Allegation 1-2: Not Sustained


[Print Face Sheet](#)
[Print Current Case Summary](#)
[< Return](#)
[Incident Review](#)
[Investigation](#)

Reporting Category

Category	Subcategory	Situation	Victim/Offender Armed?	Weapon Types	Weapon Other	Weapon Recovered?	Deceased?
05A EXCESSIVE FORCE / ON DUTY - INJURY -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
row(s) 1 - 1 of 1							

Log No: 1085432 Type:CR

Accused Members

Review	Name	Status	Accused Overall Finding	Recommended Penalty	No. of Days	Date/Time Served	Created Date	Complimentary History
View	Unknown	CLOSED/FINAL	NOT SUSTAINED	-	-	-	01-JUN-2017	N/A
View	Unknown	CLOSED/FINAL	NOT SUSTAINED	-	-	-	12-DEC-2017	N/A
View	CHATMAN, AARON	CLOSED/FINAL	UNFOUNDED	-	-	-	12-DEC-2017	N/A
View	ANDERSON, WESLEY	CLOSED/FINAL	NOT SUSTAINED	-	-	-	12-DEC-2017	N/A
View	Unknown	CLOSED/FINAL	NOT SUSTAINED	-	-	-	12-DEC-2017	N/A
row(s) 1 - 5 of 5								

Attachments

No.	Type	Related Person	No. of Pages	Narrative	Original in File	Entered By	Entered Date/Time	Status	Approve Content?	Approve Inclusion?
View	1	FACE SHEET	N/A	-	-	STEWART, DENISE	JUN-01-2017 17:50	-	-	-
View	2	CONFLICT CERTIFICATION	N/A	-	-	DAUN, SHERRY	JUN-14-2017 11:43	-	-	-
View	3	CONFLICT CERTIFICATION	N/A	-	-	ANAELE, BENEDICT	JUN-19-2017 16:25	-	-	-
View	4	TO/FROM REPORT	N/A	2	Office of Inspector General Re: IG#17-0261	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:04	APPROVED	-	YES
View	5	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	1	Office of Inspector General IG#17-0261	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:06	APPROVED	-	YES
View	6	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	2	IG Information Report	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:07	APPROVED	-	YES
View	7	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	3	Illinois Department of Corrections Internet Inmate Status	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:09	APPROVED	-	YES
View	8	ARREST HISTORY	N/A	2	IR# 738533	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:10	APPROVED	-	YES

View	9	OEMC EVENT QUERY	N/A	1	#1510508009 -	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:11	APPROVED -	YES
View	10	ARREST REPORT	N/A	5	[REDACTED] -	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:12	APPROVED -	YES
View	11	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	3	CPD Criminal History Report -	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:13	APPROVED -	YES
View	12	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	1	Arrest Summary [REDACTED] -	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:14	APPROVED -	YES
View	13	ATTENDANCE AND ASSIGNMENT RECORD	N/A	1	Unit 022; Car#4663; 15-Apr-2015 -	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:16	APPROVED -	YES
View	14	ICLEAR REPORT	N/A	4	Clear Data Warehouse Personnel Check: W.Anderson #18388; R.Cortez #13652; M.Duncan #15296; D.Hunt #6109 -	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:19	APPROVED -	YES
View	15	LETTER TO VICTIM	N/A	1	[REDACTED] -	BROWN, DANITA	JUN-28-2017 10:20	APPROVED -	YES
View	16	CONFLICT CERTIFICATION	N/A	-	- -	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	JUL-17-2017 15:45	- -	-
View	17	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	[REDACTED] Complainant YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	JUL-25-2017 16:35	APPROVED -	YES
View	18	SWORN AFFIDAVIT FROM COMPLAINANT	N/A	1	Disregard previous version which was not notarized. This is the notarized version. YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	AUG-14-2017 09:40	APPROVED -	YES
View	19	CONSENT FOR AUDIO RECORDED INTERVIEW	N/A	1	[REDACTED] complainant YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	SEP-07-2017 15:28	APPROVED -	YES
View	20	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	1	[REDACTED] IPRA Notice YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	SEP-07-2017 15:28	APPROVED -	YES
View	21	ARREST HISTORY	N/A	1	[REDACTED] IL sex offender registry YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-01-2017 09:26	APPROVED -	YES
View	22	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	Det. Minter-Edwards witness statement YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-13-2017 10:32	APPROVED -	YES
View	23	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	1	PO Minter-Edwards YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-13-2017 10:35	APPROVED -	YES
View	24	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	2	[REDACTED] investigative alert from 02/22/2015 YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-13-2017 11:03	APPROVED -	YES
View	25	DETECTIVE SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT	N/A	4	RD #HY149514 YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-13-2017 11:04	APPROVED -	YES
View	26	GENERAL OFFENSE CASE REPORT	N/A	2	RD #HY149514 YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-13-2017 11:05	APPROVED -	YES
View	27	DETECTIVE SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT	N/A	2	RD #HY149514 YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-13-2017 11:06	APPROVED -	YES

View	28	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	PO Marcus Duncan witness statement	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-14-2017 15:28	APPROVED -	YES
View	29	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	1	PO Duncan	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-14-2017 15:31	APPROVED -	YES
View	30	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	PO David Hunt witness statement	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-14-2017 15:32	APPROVED -	YES
View	31	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	1	PO Hunt	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-14-2017 15:32	APPROVED -	YES
View	32	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	Det. Patrick Loftus witness statement	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-17-2017 09:08	APPROVED -	YES
View	33	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	1	Det Loftus	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-17-2017 09:11	APPROVED -	YES
View	34	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	Sgt. Aaron Chatman accused interview	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-22-2017 11:19	APPROVED -	YES
View	35	WAIVER OF COUNSEL/REQUEST TO SECURE COUNSEL	N/A	1	Sgt. Chatman	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-22-2017 11:24	APPROVED -	YES
View	36	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RIGHTS	N/A	1	Sgt. Chatman	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-22-2017 11:24	APPROVED -	YES
View	37	NOTIFICATION OF CHARGES/ALLEGATIONS	N/A	1	Sgt. Chatman	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-22-2017 11:25	APPROVED -	YES
View	38	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	1	Sgt. Chatman	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	NOV-22-2017 11:26	APPROVED -	YES
View	39	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	PO Ramiro Cortez witness statement	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-05-2017 09:34	APPROVED -	YES
View	40	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	1	PO Cortez	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-05-2017 09:43	APPROVED -	YES
View	41	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	Det. Mark Wiedenski witness statement	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-05-2017 09:44	APPROVED -	YES
View	42	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	1	Det. Wiedenski	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-05-2017 09:44	APPROVED -	YES
View	44	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	1	PO Anderson	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-05-2017 15:52	APPROVED -	YES
View	45	WAIVER OF COUNSEL/REQUEST TO SECURE COUNSEL	N/A	1	PO Anderson	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-05-2017 15:53	APPROVED -	YES
View	46	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RIGHTS	N/A	1	PO Anderson	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-05-2017 15:53	APPROVED -	YES
View	47	NOTIFICATION OF CHARGES/ALLEGATIONS	N/A	1	PO Anderson	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-05-2017 15:53	APPROVED -	YES
View	48	INVENTORY SHEET	N/A	2	Inventory from [REDACTED] 04/15/2015 arrest	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-11-2017 17:02	APPROVED -	YES
View	49	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	PO Wesley Anderson accused statement	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-12-2017 09:01	APPROVED -	YES
View	50	INVESTIGATOR CASE LOG	N/A	1	-	YES	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	DEC-12-2017 11:37	APPROVED -	YES

row(s) 1 - 50 of 50

Internal Use Only

No Internal Use Only attachments available.

Print Accused Appeal Forms

No Accused Appeal forms available for this Log No.

Related Incidents☒ **TIP** Related Incidents listed below are for reference only. These may be viewed using the related application search screens.

No Related Incidents associated with this Log No.

Status History☒ **TIP** Every employee included in the Status History is subject to be called for legal or administrative proceedings.

Resulting Status	Status Date/Time	Created By	Position	Employee No.	Assigned/ Detailed Unit	Comments
CLOSED AT C.O.P.A.	05-FEB-2018 15:10	DOMAIN, ALEXANDRA	CLERK 3		113 / -	-
CLOSED/FINAL	05-FEB-2018 15:10	DOMAIN, ALEXANDRA	CLERK 3		113 / -	-
PENDING IMPLEMENT ACTION	26-JAN-2018 15:44	CALLOWAY, KEITH	DEP CHIEF		124 / -	-
PENDING COMMAND CHANNEL REVIEW	24-JAN-2018 09:32	COSTELLO, ROBERT	SERGEANT OF POLICE		121 / -	-
PENDING ADVOCATE REVIEW	24-JAN-2018 09:31	COSTELLO, ROBERT	SERGEANT OF POLICE		121 / -	-
PENDING REVIEW INCIDENT (I.A.D./DISTRICT USE)	24-JAN-2018 09:29	COSTELLO, ROBERT	SERGEANT OF POLICE		121 / -	-
PENDING COMMAND CHANNEL REVIEW	03-JAN-2018 12:04	CARTER, ERIC	DEP CHIEF		141 / -	-
PENDING COMMAND CHANNEL REVIEW	03-JAN-2018 09:35	DOSS, DARREN	COMMANDER		141 / -	-
PENDING COMMAND CHANNEL REVIEW	28-DEC-2017 09:40	COSTELLO, ROBERT	SERGEANT OF POLICE		121 / -	-
PENDING ADVOCATE REVIEW	27-DEC-2017 09:55	OLIVER, MELVIN	POLICE OFFICER		007 / 121	-
PENDING REVIEW INCIDENT (I.A.D./DISTRICT USE)	21-DEC-2017 12:25	DOMAIN, ALEXANDRA	CLERK 3		113 / -	-
CLOSED AT C.O.P.A.	21-DEC-2017 11:53	DOMAIN, ALEXANDRA	CLERK 3		113 / -	-
PENDING REVIEW INCIDENT (C.O.P.A. USE ONLY)	19-DEC-2017 15:45	MURPHY-AGUILU, JAMES	SUPERVISING INV COPA		113 / -	-
PENDING INVESTIGATIVE REVIEW	12-DEC-2017 11:43	FITZPATRICK, KELSEY	INVESTIGATOR 3 COPA		113 / -	-
PENDING INVESTIGATION	11-JUL-2017 12:31	MURPHY-AGUILU, JAMES	SUPERVISING INV COPA		113 / -	-
PENDING INVESTIGATION	14-JUN-2017 11:43	DAUN, SHERRY	SUPERVISING INV COPA		113 / -	-
PENDING ASSIGN INVESTIGATOR	07-JUN-2017 10:12	OLVERA, MARIA	SUPERVISING INV COPA		113 / -	-
PENDING ASSIGN TEAM	01-JUN-2017 18:33	DEAN, BRUCE	SUPERVISING INV COPA		113 / -	-
PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	01-JUN-2017 18:09	STEWART, DENISE	INTAKE AIDE		113 / -	-
PRELIMINARY	01-JUN-2017 18:08	DEAN, BRUCE	SUPERVISING INV COPA		113 / -	return
PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	01-JUN-2017 18:07	STEWART, DENISE	INTAKE AIDE		113 / -	-
PRELIMINARY	01-JUN-2017 17:50	STEWART, DENISE	INTAKE AIDE		113 / -	-

row(s) 1 - 22 of 22

Command Channel Reviewers

Accused Name	Sequence No.	Reviewer
CHATMAN, AARON	1	CALLOWAY, KEITH
ANDERSON, WESLEY 1		DOSS, DARREN
ANDERSON, WESLEY 2		CARTER, ERIC

row(s) 1 - 3 of 3